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Introduction

Neuronal activity is mainly the result of the operation of ion channels, and their conductance levels are known to be highly variable (Liu et al., 1998; Golowasch et al., 1999a, 2002; Schulz et al., 2006). Despite this variability, neurons and neural networks can maintain remarkable functional stability under variable conditions (Davis, 2006), can restore their functional levels of activity after perturbations and injury (Thoby-Brisson and Simmers, 1998; Luther et al., 2003; Saghatelyan et al., 2005), and sometimes show great similarity of activity patterns across individuals (Bucher et al., 2005). It is therefore important to understand how this conductance variability can result in stable activity. One possibility is that the conductance levels are regulated by activity-dependent feedback mechanisms that adjust activity levels to around certain set points. This has been shown at the synaptic level of the outward A-current (Ih), resulting in the hyperpolarization-activated inward current (Ih), and the coordinating mechanisms are unknown. In lobster stomatogastric ganglion (STG) neurons, an activity-independent mechanism seems to coordinate the conductance level of the outward A-current (Ih) with the conductance level of the hyperpolarization-activated inward current (Ih), resulting in the preservation of neuronal and network patterns of activity (MacLean et al., 2003; Burdakov, 2005). The coordination between these currents occurs at the transcript level (Schulz et al., 2006).

Other possibilities include activity-independent mechanisms, such as developmentally regulated ion channel expression programs (Linsdell and Moody, 1994; Spitzer, 2006). Furthermore, it is often found that conductance levels of two or more ionic currents are simultaneously regulated as a consequence of neuronal activity changes (Linsdell and Moody, 1994; Liu et al., 1998; Desai et al., 1999; Golowasch et al., 1999a; Gibson et al., 2006). Whether such simultaneous changes actually involve a coordinated regulation between multiple ionic currents is known for only a very small number of cases (McAnelly and Zakon, 2000; MacLean et al., 2003), and the coordinating mechanisms are unknown. In lobster stomatogastric ganglion (STG) neurons, an activity-independent mechanism seems to coordinate the conductance level of the outward A-current (Ih) with the conductance level of the hyperpolarization-activated inward current (Ih), resulting in the preservation of neuronal and network patterns of activity (MacLean et al., 2003; Burdakov, 2005). The coordination between these currents occurs at the transcript level (Schulz et al., 2006).

Here we report that the current density levels of three voltage-gated ionic currents covary in pyloric dilator (PD) neurons of the crab STG and that this coordinated current regulation (henceforth referred to as coregulation) is controlled by central neuromodulatory input to the STG via slow-acting mechanisms that do not acutely modulate any of these currents.

Materials and Methods

The stomatogastric nervous system of cold-anesthetized Jonah crabs Cancer borealis was dissected as described previously (Selverston et al.,...
1976; Harris-Warrick, 1992). The animals were obtained from local fish-erien and kept in seawater aquarium at ~14°C. The stomatogastric neuro-ous system was pinned onto Sylgard-lined Petri dishes (Sylgard 184; Dow Corning, Midland, MI) and superfused with chilled (10–15°C) normal saline with the following composition (in mm): 440 NaCl, 11 KCl, 13 CaCl2, 26 MgCl2, 2 maleic acid, and 11 trizma base, pH 7.4–7.5. Organotypic cultures of the isolated stomatogastric nervous sys-tem were kept for up to 5 d in an incubator at 4–6°C in normal saline supplemented with 1 g/liter dextrose, 35 U/ml penicillin, and 50 U/ml streptomycin. Mn2+ (or Ba2+) saline was made by substituting 12.9 mm Mn2+ (or Ba2+) for Ca2+, always leaving 0.1 mM Ca2+ in the bath to ensure membrane stability (Golowasch and Marder, 1992a). Low con-centrations of divalent cations (~200 μM) were added without compensa-tion. Unless otherwise specified, all chemicals were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Fairlawn, NJ). Tetrodotoxin (TTX) was obtained from Callbiochem (San Diego, CA), and procotolin was from Bachem (San Car-los, CA). Proctolin was bath applied as a 1 μM solution in Caenorhabditis.

All data reported here are from PD neurons, which are located in the STG. Two PD neurons and the pacemaker anterior burster (AB) neuron of the network are members of the pyloric network and are electrically coupled. We made no attempt to isolate each neuron because, although current flow through gap junctions can contribute to ionic currents mea-sured in any of these neurons, the contribution is negligible at the high voltages we used for our measurements (Rabbah et al., 2005). PD neu-rons were identified by matching intracellular action potential record-ings to their corresponding extracellular recordings on either the lateral ventricular (LV) or pyloric dilator motor nerves (Selverston et al., 1976; Harris-Warrick, 1992).

Most neuromodulatory inputs to the STG originate in adjacent ganglia connected to it via a single nerve, the stomatogastric nerve (stn). To remove the neuromodulatory inputs to the STG (decentralization), ei-ther the stn was transected or action potential transmission along the nerve was blocked by adding isoionic (750 mM) sucrose plus 0.1 μM TTX to a Vaseline well built around the stn (Luther et al., 2003). The method of decentralization did not affect the results.

Electrophysiology. Extracellular recordings were made using stainless steel electrodes placed inside Vaseline wells built around motor nerves. Intracellular recordings from PD neurons were performed using glass electrodes filled with 0.6 M K2SO4 plus 20 mM KCl (15–30 MΩ resistance) inserted into the soma. An Axoclamp 2B (Molecular Devices, Union City, CA) was used for all intracellular recordings, and all data were acquired with a Digidata 1200A interface and pClamp 9.2 software (Mo-lecular Devices).

Unless otherwise stated, all currents were measured in normal saline or normal saline supplemented with 0.1 μM TTX. The presence of TTX did not affect current amplitudes. TTX washed off completely in ~2 h. We detected no noticeable effect of short-term (~<30 min) TTX applications on the process of activity recov-ery or current density changes after decentralization. All currents were measured in two-electrode voltage clamp as described previously (Golo-wasch and Marder, 1992a).

Leak currents generated at the test potentials Vtest were subtracted using the P/n method: n subpulses of amplitude, Vsub/n were applied (n = 4–5) in the opposite direction from the test pulse, and the sum of the currents measured during the subpulses was added to the current measured at Vtest.

Outward currents. We define a high-threshold potassium current (IK,TTX) as the current activated in normal saline by applying 800 ms depolarizing voltage steps from a holding potential of ~40 mV, leak subtracted using the P/n method described above. A large fraction of this current is generated by the Ca-dependent K+ current (IKCa) (Golowasch and Marder, 1992a; Haedo and Golowasch, 2006). Peak IK,TTX amplitudes were measured at 30 ms after the test pulse onset. Because IK is fully inactivated at ~40 mV, IK,TTX estimated using this protocol is not con-taminated by IK. We determined the delayed rectifier current (IKd) as the current measured in the same way as IK but in Mn2+–containing saline. IKCa was estimated by subtracting total outward current measured in Mn2+ saline in response to 800 ms depolarizing voltage steps from a holding potential of ~40 mV from the current measured in the same way in normal saline. Steady state I–V plots, IKCa, or IKd Values were measured at the end of the 800 ms pulse at ~40 mV. IK was determined in normal saline taking advantage of its strong voltage dependence of inactivation, which distinguishes it from IK,TTX. IK is known to be completely inacti-vated in pyloric neurons of Crangon crangon at ~40 mV but nearly completely deactivated at ~80 mV (cf. Golowasch and Marder, 1992a). Thus, to estimate IK, we subtracted total outward current measured by applying 800 ms depolarizing voltage steps from a holding potential of ~40 mV from the current measured at the same membrane potentials but from a holding voltage of ~80 mV. IK amplitude was measured at 30 ms after the start of the pulse to ~40 mV. To confirm that IK was not contaminated by IK,TTX, we evaluated the effects of partially blocking IK,TTX with Mn2+– saline or with 10 mM tetraethylammonium (TEA) (Golowasch and Marder, 1992a) on our measurements of IK. We found no significant differences from the current measured in the same cells in normal saline (IK in normal saline, 96.1 ± 51.5 nA/nF; in TEA, 87.2 ± 32.0 nA/nF, n = 15, p = 0.4; IK in normal saline, 109.9 ± 29.6 nA/nF; in Mn2+, 105.8 ± 40.0 nA/nF, n = 18, p = 0.5).

Inward currents. IK was activated with hyperpolarizing pulses from a holding potential of ~40 mV. Maximum amplitude was measured at the end of an 8 s pulse at ~110 mV after leak subtraction. To determine the leak current during IK measurements, a linear fit to the I–V curve at ~60 to ~40 mV was extrapolated to ~110 mV. IK corresponds to the current flowing through Ca2+ channels but carried by Ba ions and was calculated as a difference between a current measured as described for IK,TTX but in low Ca2+ saline plus 0.1 μM TTX plus 10 mM TEA plus 12.9 mM Ba2+, and the same current measured in TTX plus TEA plus Ba2+ plus 200 μM Cd2+ at 210 ms from the onset of the test pulse.

The membrane capacitance was determined by integrating the area of the capacitive current for voltage steps from ~50 to ~600 mV. Current density was estimated by dividing the current amplitude by the mem-brane capacitance.

Examples of raw current traces and I–V curves for all these currents are shown in Figure 2 (left and middle panels, respectively). Day 0 measure-ments correspond to initial control measurements taken in every condi-tion tested. In decentralized preparations, day 0 measurements were taken immediately before decentralization. Measurement of all five differ-ent voltage-gated currents was not always possible in the same cell. Therefore, the sample sizes of the correlation graphs may differ.

Statistical analysis. All data are shown as averages ± SD. Statistical significance was determined using linear regression analysis, t tests, or one-way ANOVAs with Tukey’s post hoc tests (SigmaStat 2.03; Aspire Software International, Leesburg, VA). Two-way mixed design ANO-VAs, ANCOVAs, and multivariate analyses were performed using custom functions (SigmaStat 2.03 and Excel (Microsoft, Seattle, WA)).

Results

Decentralization modifies pyloric network activity

The pyloric network of the crustacean STG, when dissected to-gether with the commissural and esophageal ganglia, retains most of the neuromodulatory inputs necessary for the expression of its rhythm activity. These inputs can be experimentally interrup-ted in vitro by blocking action potential transmission along the single neuromodulatory input nerve (stn) to the STG (henceforth referred to as decentralization) (Luther et al., 2003). Pyloric activity is temporarily interrupted immediately after decentraliza-tion but can recover to near control levels hours to days later (Thoby-Brison and Simmers, 1998; Golowasch et al., 1999b; Luther et al., 2003). An example of these changes in activity is shown in Figure 1. On the left (Control) are extracellular record-ings of the main pyloric motor nerve and intracellular recordings of a PD neuron of a preparation in which the neuromodulatory input nerve is intact, shown at different times in organ culture (days 0, 1, and 4). Only a slight variation in the frequency of the rhythm is observed. If the neuromodulator-containing input nerve (stn) is severed, the pyloric rhythm ceases in seconds to minutes (Decentralized, Day 0). This activity recovers after ≥1 d
Decentralization modifies voltage-dependent ionic current levels

In the lobster *Jasus lalandii*, the recovery of the pyloric rhythm is correlated with an increase in the ionic conductance level of $I_{K_d}$ and a conductance decrease of the TEA-sensitive $K^+$ current component in PD neurons 4 d after decentralization (Thoby-Brisson and Simmers, 2002). In *Homarus gammarus*, recovery was associated with an increase in $I_{K_d}$ 4 d after decentralization (Mizrahi et al., 2001). This has been argued to be partly consistent with the acquisition of bursting properties not normally expressed by these cells (Thoby-Brisson and Simmers, 2002). In lobsters, however, the transitional bouts phase observed in crabs has not been reported. To establish whether similar conductance changes are observed in *C. borealis*, we measured several ionic currents during the entire recovery period.

Figure 2 (left column) shows examples of raw current traces of five voltage-gated currents we measured in *C. borealis* PD neurons: $I_{K_d}$, $I_{K_Ca}$, $I_{K_Na}$, $I_{K_Ca}$, and $I_{K_A}$. Figure 2 (middle column) shows examples of current–voltage plots of these currents before decentralization (open symbols/dashed lines) and 24 h after decentralization (solid symbols/lines). Changes in $I_{K_d}$, $I_{K_Na}$, and $I_{K_Ca}$ (measured at steady state) and in $I_{K_A}$ were consistently observed, whereas $I_{K_Ca}$ did not change. To determine the time course of these changes, we measured current densities of these voltage-gated currents at 0, 1, and 4 d in organ culture in control preparations (no decentralization) and in preparations decentralized immediately after the day 0 measurements were taken. All data points were normalized to the measurements in the same cell at day 0 (Fig. 2, right column). Using a two-way mixed design ANOVA, we determined that there were statistically significant differences in the densities relative to nondecentralized control preparations of the following currents when all experimental groups were compared: $I_{K_d}$ ($p < 0.001; n = 39$), $I_{K_Na}$ ($p < 0.05; n = 26$), $I_{K_Ca}$ (steady state, $p < 0.05; n = 23$), and $I_{K_A}$ ($p < 0.001; n = 62$) (Fig. 2, right column). Post hoc analysis indicates that these differences arise mainly from the changes observed at day 1 (Fig. 2). $I_{K_A}$ (Fig. 2, bottom) and the peak of $I_{K_Ca}$ (data not shown) were not significantly affected over the period studied ($p = 0.80; n = 22$; $p = 0.28; n = 23$, respectively). Compared with controls on day 1, in decentralized preparations, the levels of $I_{K_d}$, $I_{K_Na}$, and $I_{K_Ca}$ (steady state) increased, and $I_{K_A}$ decreased (post hoc Tukey’s tests; $I_{K_d}, p < 0.001$; $I_{K_Na}, p = 0.002$; $I_{K_Ca}, p = 0.025$; $I_{K_A}, p = 0.03$). Only the current density of $I_{K_d}$ remained significantly elevated in decentralized preparations after 4 d ($p = 0.007$), whereas the current densities of $I_{K_Na}$, $I_{K_Ca}$, and $I_{K_A}$ all returned to levels indistinguishable from control nondecentralized preparations (Fig. 2, right column). Current levels in nondecentralized preparations remained generally stable over time in organ culture except $I_{K_d}$ and $I_{K_A}$ that significantly decreased by day 4 compared with day 0 ($p < 0.01$ for both). Changes in decentralized preparations were significant with these trends taken into consideration.

Because $I_{K_d}$ showed no tendency to change over time in either nondecentralized (control) or decentralized preparations and to avoid applications of Ca$^{2+}$-current blockers (e.g., Mn$^{2+}$) that could potentially interfere with normal physiological processes, we henceforth used $I_{HTK}$ comprising $I_{K_d}$ and $I_{K_Ca}$ as a measure of $I_{K_Ca}$. The same trends and their significance were observed when $I_{HTK}$ was used for our analyses (two-way mixed design ANOVA, $p < 0.05; n = 23$), although the relative level changes were smaller for $I_{HTK}$ than $I_{K_Ca}$ ($\Delta I_{HTK}$ at day 1, 131.1 ± 30.2%; $\Delta I_{K_Ca}$ at day 1, 222.4 ± 80.3%).

In a subset of experiments, measurements were made both immediately before and 10–30 min after decentralization. No significant current density differences were observed over this brief timespan (current densities measured 10–30 min after decentralization expressed as percentage of currents measured before decentralization in the same cell were as follows: $I_{HTK}$ 101 ± 39%; $I_{K_A}$ 98 ± 30%; $I_{K_d}$ 98 ± 31%; all $t$ test $p$ values were $>0.05$;
n = 20). This indicates that long-term current changes are not the immediate effect of the neuromodulatory input removal.

No effects of decentralization or time in organ culture on neuronal input resistance were observed (Table 1). With the exception of \(I_{\text{Ba}}\), which sometimes showed a hyperpolarizing shift in its activation curve, we also did not observe significant changes in other conductance parameters (data not shown).

Coregulation of ionic current pairs depends on neuromodulatory input
In our experiments, the current densities of all the currents studied in nondecentralized preparations on day 0 displayed a high level of variability \((I_{\text{h}} = 6.0 \pm 5.1 \text{nA/nF})\); \(I_{\text{A}} = 97.5 \pm 21.0 \text{nA/nF})\); \(I_{\text{HTK}}\) (steady state), \(105.8 \pm 18.9 \text{nA/nF})\); \(I_{\text{KCa}}\) (steady state), \(66.2 \pm 34.7 \text{nA/nF})\); \(I_{\text{Ba}}\), \(53.9 \pm 26.4 \text{nA/nF})\); \(I_{\text{h}}, -1.62 \pm 1.92 \text{nA/nF})\), similar to what has been reported for PD neurons previously (Goldman et al., 2000). Surprisingly, we found that the densities of \(I_{\text{h}}, I_{\text{A}},\) and \(I_{\text{HTK}}\) or \(I_{\text{KCa}}\) significantly correlated with each other at all times during organ culture in nondecentralized preparations (Fig. 3A–C, Table 2). No other current density combination proved significantly correlated (data not shown). To confirm that correlation of \(I_{\text{h}}\) and \(I_{\text{A}}\) cannot simply be explained by correlation of each of these currents with \(I_{\text{HTK}}\), we performed multivariate analysis. If the effect of \(I_{\text{HTK}}\) was removed, the resulting partial correlation coefficient for the \(I_{\text{h}}/I_{\text{A}}\) pair is still significant \((r = 0.50; p < 0.001)\), which indicates that there is an independent relationship between \(I_{\text{A}}\) and \(I_{\text{h}}\). Using multivariate analysis, we further determined that the relationship among the three currents can be described as \(I_{\text{HTK}} = 120.85 + 0.97 \times I_{\text{A}} + 6.12 \times I_{\text{h}}\).

The strong correlations between \(I_{\text{h}}\) versus \(I_{\text{HTK}}\) and \(I_{\text{A}}\) versus \(I_{\text{HTK}}\) observed in control preparations, however, disappeared 1 d after decentralization (Fig. 3D, Table 2), and the currents remained uncorrelated on day 4 after decentralization (Fig. 3E, Table 2). In contrast, \(I_{\text{h}}\) versus \(I_{\text{A}}\) remained strongly correlated at all times after decentralization (Fig. 3D,E, right column; Table 2), suggesting a mechanism of coregulation between \(I_{\text{h}}\) and \(I_{\text{A}}\) that is different from the mechanism that explains the coregulation of \(I_{\text{HTK}}\) and both \(I_{\text{h}}\) and \(I_{\text{A}}\).

We hypothesized that the lack of neuromodulator release, and/or the lack of rhythmic activity caused by decentralization, must mediate the changes in ionic current density and ionic current codependence shown in Figures 2 and 3. To test...
our hypothesis, we examined the effects of proctolin, one of the naturally released neuromodulators that can induce rhythmic activity when bath applied or when released onto the STG by projection neurons (Blitz and Nusbaum, 1999), on activity, on ionic current levels, and on current coregulations.

Proctolin prevents ionic current changes and loss of coregulation attributable to decentralization

Figure 4 illustrates the effects of proctolin on activity in control (nondecentralized) (Fig. 4A) and decentralized (Fig. 4B) preparations. In nondecentralized preparations, after 15 min in proctolin (column 2), a slight increase in pyloric rhythm frequency and an increase in PD neuron oscillation amplitude are always observed. In preparations decentralized in normal saline, pyloric rhythm is always completely shut down 15 min after decentralization (B, column 1). However, if a preparation is decentralized in the presence of $10^{-6}$ M proctolin, pyloric activity always remains strong and regular (B, column 2). In these experiments, electrodes were removed after recording in proctolin on day 0 (column 2) and reinserted 24 h later still in the presence of bath-applied proctolin (column 3). Although regular pyloric activity continued uninterrupted during a 24 h application of proctolin in both control (Fig. 4A, column 3) and decentralized (Fig. 4B, column 3) preparations during reimpalement, we observed a slight decrease in the amplitude of the membrane potential oscillations (in 10 of 11 preparations). In the preparation shown, this change coincided with a slight decrease in the input resistance of PD neurons ($R_{\text{in}}$ of 26.3 MΩ on day 0; $R_{\text{in}}$ of 21.7 MΩ on day 1). However, on average, input resistances of the preparations examined in this study were statistically indistinguishable at all stages (Table 1). We also sometimes observed a decrease in the amplitude of the inhibitory synaptic potentials that PD neurons receive from the single lateral pyloric (LP) neuron in the network (in 7 of 11 preparations) (Fig. 4B, column 3), which is consistent with observations previously reported in lobster (Thoby-Brisson and Simmers, 2002). Finally, during washout of proctolin, both control and decentralized preparations always slowed down and decreased the amplitude of the PD neuron membrane potential oscillations, consistent with well known effects of proctolin (Hooper and Marder, 1987; Nusbaum and Marder, 1989).

The acute application of proctolin did not significantly affect the current amplitudes of either $I_{\text{HTK}}$, $I_A$, or $I_h$. After 15 min of $10^{-6}$ M proctolin bath application in intact preparations current densities were as follows (in % of densities in normal saline in the same cell): $I_{\text{HTK}}$, 114.9 ± 46.7% ($p = 0.273$); $I_A$, 95.1 ± 25.0% ($p = 0.115$); $I_h$, 114.7 ± 57.8% ($p = 0.707$). $p$ values are the result of paired $t$ tests ($n = 15$). The lack of acute effects of proctolin on these voltage-dependent currents has also been thoroughly documented previously (Golowasch and Marder, 1992b; Swensen and Marder, 2000). In these previous studies, proctolin was applied at various times after decentralization. Continuous application of $10^{-6}$ M proctolin for 24 h in intact, nondecentralized, preparations produced no significant differences in current densities (in % of densities in the

Figure 3. Coregulation of voltage-gated currents depends on continuous neuromodulatory input. Each point corresponds to current densities of the two indicated currents measured in an individual PD neuron. Not all current pairs were always measured in each cell, which resulted in different sample sets for the different current pairs. A, Currents measured on day 0 before decentralization. B, Currents measured after 1 d in organ culture in nondecentralized preparations. C, Currents measured after 4 d in organ culture in nondecentralized preparations. D, Currents measured 1 d after decentralization. E, Currents measured 4 d after decentralization. Lines are the result of linear regression analysis in each case and are plotted only for cases when correlation slopes were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) ($R^2$ and $p$ values are reported in Table 2). All current densities are expressed in nanoamperes per nanofarad.
same cell on day 0): \(I_{\text{HTK}}\) 110.8 ± 28.8% (\(p = 0.431\)); \(I_{\text{A}}\) 91.9 ± 46.1% (\(p = 0.816\)); \(I_n\) 110.1 ± 44.2% (\(p = 0.495\)). \(p\) values are the result of paired \(t\) tests (\(n = 5\)). Similar results were obtained in the preparations decentralized and continuously maintained in the presence of proctolin for 24 h (in % of densities in the same cell on day 0 before decentralization): \(I_{\text{HTK}}\) 109.3 ± 30.2% (\(p = 0.36\)); \(I_{\text{A}}\) 94.0 ± 27.6% (\(p = 0.36\)); \(I_n\) 76.8 ± 26.2% (\(p = 0.24\)) (Fig. 5).

Figure 6A shows the effects of the continuous bath application of 1 \(\mu M\) proctolin on ionic current coregulation. Ionic currents were measured, proctolin was applied, and the preparations were decentralized immediately thereafter. The preparations were then maintained in proctolin for 18–24 h, and current densities were measured again. In the presence of proctolin, regular pyloric activity was maintained despite decentralization (Fig. 4B, column 3), and the three current pairs (\(I_{\text{A}}/I_{\text{HTK}}\), \(I_{\text{B}}/I_{\text{HTK}}\), and \(I_n/I_{\text{HTK}}\)) remained correlated (Fig. 6A, Table 2), similar to nondecentralized preparations (Fig. 3A–C, Table 2) and in contrast to the effects of decentralization alone (Fig. 3D, Table 2). To determine whether the uninterrupted activity or uninterrupted neuromodulator supply accounted for the maintenance of current coregulation, rhythmic activity was suppressed with 0.1 \(\mu M\) TTX applied together with 1 \(\mu M\) proctolin 10 min before decentralization (Fig. 7, right) (Golowasch and Marder, 1992b). Bath-applied TTX not only blocks the generation of action potentials in STG motor neurons but also blocks the release of endogenous neuromodulators from axon terminals onto the STG, which eliminates subthreshold oscillatory activity. Blockade of action potentials only is not sufficient to block slow subthreshold oscillatory activity in STG neurons (Raper, 1979). We observed a similarly strong correlation of ionic currents in the presence of proctolin plus TTX (Fig. 6B, Table 2), again similar to nondecentralized preparations (Table 2) or decentralized preparations treated with proctolin alone (Table 2). TTX application alone did not preserve the coregulation of \(I_{\text{A}}/I_{\text{HTK}}\) and \(I_{\text{B}}/I_{\text{HTK}}\), whereas \(I_n/I_{\text{HTK}}\) coregulation was again not affected (Fig. 6C, Table 2), similar to the effects of decentralization alone (Fig. 3D, Table 2). The preservation of coregulation among these three currents in the presence of proctolin (or proctolin plus TTX) was accompanied by the elimination of the current density changes observed after decentralization (no proctolin application) relative to the levels of each current at day 0 (Fig. 5, white and black bars). Together with the complete loss of oscillatory activity in TTX plus proctolin, we take these results as evidence that proctolin, and not activity, regulates the coordinated expression of ionic currents in this system.

These results suggest the possibility that the "nondecentralized" ionic current density levels and their coregulation could be rescued by neuromodulators after the ionic currents have already undergone the decentralization-induced changes in current density and coregulation we observed. To address this possibility, we conducted two sets of experiments. In the first experiment, a reversible TTX/sucrose block of action potential transmission along the stn was used rather than stn transection (see Materials and Methods) to decentralize the preparations. After ~24 h, current densities were measured. At this point, the decentralized preparations have entered the so-called bouton stage of irregular pyloric activity, described by Luther et al. (2003) or, in some cases, fully recovered their pyloric rhythmic activity (Fig. 1, decentralized day 1 and 4). The stn block was then removed by extensive washing of the sucrose/TTX block with normal saline, and the preparation was maintained in organ culture for addi-
Continuously bath-applied 1 mM proctolin. Preparations were decentralized on day 0 in normal saline. Decentralization in normal saline, Preparations were decentralized on day 0 in normal saline. Decentralization in proctolin, Preparations were decentralized on day 0 in bath-applied 1 mM proctolin. Decentralized in proctolin, Preparations were decentralized on day 0 in bath-applied 1 mM proctolin plus 0.1 mM TTX. Bars represent average ± SD of at least 12 experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, statistically significant changes compared with day 0 (two-way mixed design ANOVA).

Discussion

Our results reveal a hitherto unknown role of neuromodulators, namely that of controlling the codependence of ionic currents (in this particular system of $I_{HTK}, I_A$, and $I_h$). We show that this effect is likely to be mediated by neuromodulators (such as proctolin) directly rather than indirectly via electrical activity changes. Proctolin is known to activate a peptide-specific current (Golowasch and Marder, 1992b; Swensen and Marder, 2000) via a metabotropic receptor (Swensen and Marder, 2000). Acute effects of proctolin on other ionic currents have not been reported previously. Our results suggest that proctolin has effects on several other voltage-gated currents expressed by PD neurons that are not acutely apparent and are revealed when neuromodulatory input (including proctolin) to the STG is removed. At this point, it is unknown whether the acute effects of proctolin on the proctolin receptor (Swensen and Marder, 2000). Acute effects of proctolin on other ionic currents have not been reported previously. Our results suggest that proctolin has effects on several other voltage-gated currents expressed by PD neurons that are not acutely apparent and are revealed when neuromodulatory input (including proctolin) to the STG is removed. At this point, it is unknown whether the acute effects of proctolin on the STG is removed. At this point, it is unknown whether the acute effects of proctolin on the STG is removed.
Our results are consistent with this mechanism because the $I_h/I_h$ coregulation does not appear to be significantly affected by the loss of rhythmic activity. However, we show that $I_h$ and $I_n$ both covary with $I_{HTK}$ in a manner that appears to be independent of the covariation between $I_h$ and $I_n$ and of activity but controlled by the neuromodulatory input to the pyloric network. Furthermore, the loss of current coregulation after decentralization can be prevented by exogenous neuromodulators only during a critical window lasting for an as yet undetermined period no longer than 24 h after decentralization. This period coincides with the critical window after decentralization during which RNA synthesis is required for rhythmic pyloric activity recovery in lobster (Thoby-Brisson and Simmers, 2000). Thus, a possible mechanism underlying the coregulation of currents by neuromodulator could be the simultaneous regulation of the expression of multiple genes (cf. Adams and Dudek, 2005) in conjunction with a relatively fast turnover rate of the channels involved. Alternatively, ion channels can also express enzymatic activity, which could regulate inter-ion channel activation directly (Runnels et al., 2001; Cai et al., 2005). The existence of multi-molecular complexes, including ion channels, enzymes, and cofactors capable of recruiting and activating enzymes (Catterall et al., 2006; Levitan, 2006), may provide the molecular framework for the coordinated regulation of multiple channels.

Our data show that most ionic currents affected in PD neurons by decentralization show transient current density changes that are maximal at a time during the recovery (day 1) when pyloric rhythm displays a high degree of instability characterized by intermittent bouts of pyloric activity (Luther et al., 2003). Preliminary evidence indicates that this is also true for other neuronal types in the network. These transient changes may in fact be responsible for bouts of behavior, as suggested by similar current changes during bouts observed in a conductance-based model of PD neuron decentralization (Zhang and Golowasch, 2007).

Sustained rhythmic activity in nondecentralized preparations is in large part driven by an additional inward current activated by neuromodulators (Golowasch et al., 1992; Swensen and Marder, 2000). This neuromodulator-gated current is activated by proctolin and is thought to be inactive in decentralized preparations attributable to the abolished release of neuromodulators, yet rhythmic activity slowly recovers back to near control levels (Thoby-Brisson and Simmers, 1998; Luther et al., 2003). It is in principle possible that the neuromodulator-gated current activated by proctolin after decentralization becomes constitutively active and independent of the peptide, thus reactivating the pyloric rhythm. Alternatively, two effects may compensate for the prolonged removal of neuromodulator-gated currents and help restore rhythmic network activity. (1) A subset of the voltage-gated ionic currents irreversibly change in amplitude relative to control levels during the recovery after decentralization (i.e., $I_h$); this may be sufficient to restore theionic current balance and rhythmic activity of the neuron. (2) The change in coregulation of a subset of voltage-gated currents (i.e., $I_h$, $I_n$, and $I_{HTK}$) after decentralization may be sufficient to alter the balance of conductances to a state that restores rhythmic activity in key neurons, such as the PD neurons that are strongly coupled to the pacemaker AB neuron. As a consequence, the entire network may recover its rhythmic pattern of activity. Our preliminary data indicate that different neurons in the network respond differently to long-term decentralization. Additionally, synaptic changes may also occur as suggested by Thoby-Brisson and Simmers (2002).

Thus, the pyloric network recovery of activity is likely the result of a complex interplay and balance of ionic current and synaptic changes across the entire network. It is possible that the high degree of consistency of pyloric network activity across individuals (Bucher et al., 2005) is the result of conductance coregulation set by neuromodulatory input. Conversely, our data indicate that coordinated expression of ionic currents is not by itself necessary to ensure a stable neuronal output because stable output was restored even in the absence of their coordinated expression. Perhaps it is precisely the loss of coregulation that, in combination with other synaptic and/or ionic current changes, releases certain constraints imposed on the network under normal conditions to allow the recovery of rhythmic activity to
within functional levels. Although a large degree of ionic current variability can theoretically sustain specific types of neuronal activity (Goldman et al., 2001; Prinz et al., 2004), a restriction of the global current variability by coregulation can help to ensure the maintenance of activity within functional limits (Goldman et al., 2001; MacLean et al., 2003, 2005; Burdakov, 2005) in the intact system. However, the release from the coregulated condition plus significant current density changes of a subset of ionic currents may be required to ensure the recovery of specific activity patterns, such as the pyloric activity pattern, when one of the ionic currents responsible for rhythm generation is lost [i.e., the neuromodulator-gated current (Swensen and Marder, 2000)]. Ionic current coregulation may also play other, as yet unidentified, roles, e.g., gain adjustment (Burdakov, 2005). Finally, conduction parameters other than those identified by us, which could contribute to the restoration of the functional output of the network, may also be subject to coregulation (McAnelly and Zakon, 2000). These results highlight the complexity of the balance of conductances and their properties in the generation of neuronal activity and that potentially many important factors regulating neuronal activity remain to be identified.
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