Multiple Peptides Converge to Activate the Same Voltage-Dependent Current in a Central Pattern-Generating Circuit
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The stomatogastric ganglion of the crab, Cancer borealis, is modulated by >20 different substances, including numerous neuropeptides. One of these peptides, proctolin, activates an inward current that shows strong outward rectification (Golowasch and Marder, 1992). Decreasing the extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ concentration linearizes the current–voltage curve of the proctolin-induced current. We used voltage clamp to study the currents evoked by proctolin and five additional modulators [C. borealis tachykinin-related peptide Ia (CabTRP Ia), crustacean cardioactive peptide, red pigment-concentrating hormone, TNRNFLRFamide, and the muscarinic agonist pilocarpine] in stomatogastric ganglion neurons, both in the intact ganglion and in dissociated cell culture. Subtraction currents yielded proctolin-like current–voltage relationships for all six substances, and the current–voltage curves of all six substances showed linearization in low external Ca$^{2+}$. The lateral pyloric neuron responded to all six modulators, but the ventricular dilator neuron only responded to a subset of them. Bath application of saturating concentrations of proctolin occluded the response to CabTRP and vice versa. N-(6-Aminohexyl)-5-chloro-1-naphthalenesulfonamide, a calmodulin inhibitor, increased the amplitude and altered the voltage dependence of the responses elicited by CabTRP and proctolin. Together, these data indicate that all six substances converge onto the same voltage-dependent current, although they activate different receptors. Therefore, differential network responses evoked by these substances may primarily depend on the receptor distribution on network neurons.
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Nervous systems contain many neuropeptides and amines that modulate synaptic strength and cellular excitability (Nicoll et al., 1990; Kupfermann, 1991; Marder and Calabrese, 1996; Marder, 1998). Most voltage-dependent ion channels are subject to modulation by one or more substances, and many agonists modulate multiple membrane currents (Kaczmarek and Levitan, 1987; Levitan, 1988, 1994; Hille, 1992, 1994; Gudermann et al., 1997). In principle, the large number of different intrinsic and synaptic currents subject to modulation could provide the potential substrate for virtually infinite modifications of circuit behavior under different modulatory conditions. Nonetheless, there are numerous examples of convergent actions of modulators, in which several substances act on the same current (Dunlap and Fischbach, 1978; Jones, 1985; Christie and North, 1988; Nicoll et al., 1990; Bolshakov et al., 1993; Brezina et al., 1994a,b; van Tol-Steyte et al., 1997; Sodickson and Bean, 1998). Convergent actions of modulators may appear to be redundant at the level of the single neuron but may not be redundant at the level of network behavior, if different substances activate different receptors on separate populations of target neurons.

The crustacean stomatogastric ganglion (STG) contains the neurons responsible for producing the well described pyloric and gastric mill rhythm (Selverston et al., 1976; Harris-Warrick et al., 1992). The pyloric and gastric mill rhythms are modulated by a large number of peptides and amines that are present as circulating hormones and/or are released from modulatory projection and sensory neurons (Marder, 1987; Harris-Warrick et al., 1992; Christie et al., 1995; Marder et al., 1995; Marder and Calabrese, 1996). Despite the extensive study of the effects of modulators on the STG motor patterns (Marder and Calabrese, 1996; Marder et al., 2000) only proctolin and the amines have been studied with voltage-clamp methods. Proctolin activates an inward nonspecific cation current (Golowasch and Marder, 1992) that shows strong outward rectification with a peak current at approximately ~50 mV and a reversal potential of ~0 mV. Additionally, in low external calcium the current–voltage curve for the proctolin-induced current linearizes. Serotonin and dopamine modulate several of the voltage-gated currents in STG neurons (Kiehn and Harris-Warrick, 1992a,b; Harris-Warrick et al., 1995a,b; Zhang and Harris-Warrick, 1995; Kloppeenburg et al., 1999).

In this paper we study the effects of six neuromodulators [proctolin, Cancer borealis tachykinin-related peptide Ia (CabTRP), crustacean cardioactive peptide (CCAP), red pigment-concentrating hormone (RPCH), TNRNFLRFamide, and the muscarinic agonist pilocarpine]. These modulators all have excitatory effects at the network level and are able to activate the pyloric rhythm in quiescent preparations (Hooper and Marder, 1984; Marder and Hooper, 1985; Nusbaum and Marder, 1988; Weimann et al., 1993, 1997; Christie et al., 1997b). We show here that these substances appear to activate the same current. However, different target neurons in the stomatogastric ganglion respond to different subsets of these substances. Thus, it is possible that modulators that activate the same membrane current can still elicit different actions at the network level.

Parts of this paper have been published previously in abstract form (Swensen and Marder, 1998).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Experiments were performed on C. borealis purchased from Commercial Lobster (Boston, MA). Animals were maintained in artificial seawater at 12–13°C until used.

Ganglion recordings. Stomatogastric nervous systems were dissected out of the animals. Extracellular pin electrodes were used to record from nerves, and an Axoclamp 2A amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) was used for intracellular recordings. All preparations were continuously superfused (3–7 ml/min) with chilled (11–13°C) physiological saline. Microelectrodes for intracellular recordings were filled with 0.6 M K$_2$SO$_4$ and 20 mM KCl and had resistances of 20–40 MΩ. Data were collected and analyzed using pCLAMP software (Axon Instruments). Recordings of ganglion neurons in situ were done in two-electrode voltage-clamp (TEVC) or two-electrode current-clamp modes.

STGs were isolated from higher ganglia using a sucrose block of the stomatogastric nerve and/or bath-applied 0.1 μM tetrodotoxin (TTX; Alomone Laboratories, Jerusalem, Israel). Neurons were pharmacologi-
cally isolated using 10 μM picrotoxin (PTX; Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The effects of various modulators on the lateral pyloric (LP) and ventricular dilator (VD) neurons were examined. Each STG contains a single LP neuron and a single VD neuron. PTX was used to block action potential generation, and 10 mM tetraethylammonium chloride (Sigma) was sometimes used in recordings to block some of the K⁺ currents.

**Culture recordings.** Cultured neurons were recorded using single-electrode current clamp and voltage clamp using an SEC-505L amplifier from NPI Electronic GmbH (Tamm, Germany). Microelectrodes and data collection and analysis were the same as for ganglion recordings. Cultured cells were unidentified neurons from the STG and were studied 2–4 d after plating.

**Culture techniques.** STG neurons were plated into dissociated cell culture using minor modifications of previously described methods (Turrigiano and Marder, 1993; Turrigiano et al., 1994, 1995). STGs were removed from the animal, desheathed, and treated at room temperature for 1 hr with 2% dispase (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) in Ca²⁺- and Mg²⁺-free saline. They were then washed at 12°C in physiological saline for at least 2 hr and sometimes overnight. Cells were then pulled off the STG by suction using fire-polished electrodes and plated out onto Nunc culture dishes (Nunc, Naperville, IL) containing culture medium. The medium was composed of sterile Leibowitz-15 (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD) diluted 1:1 with C. borealis stock solution and 25 μg/ml gentamycin (Life Technologies). Cultures were incubated 2–4 d at 12°C before recording.

**Solutions.** C. borealis physiological saline was composed of (in mM): NaCl, 440; KCl, 11; CaCl₂, 13; MgCl₂, 26; Trizma base, 11; and malic acid, 5, pH 7.4–7.5. C. borealis stock solution contained (in mM): NaCl, 740; KCl, 16; CaCl₂, 25; MgCl₂, 50; and HEPES, 14, pH 7.7.

**Modulators.** Proctolin (Sigma), CabTRP Ia (a gift from A. E. Christie and M. P. Nusbaum, Department of Neuroscience, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA), CCAP (Bachem, Torrance, CA), RPH, TNRNFLRFamide (American Peptide Company), and Pilocarpine (Sigma) were dissolved in saline and either pressure-applied using a Picospritzer (5–15 psi, 50–600 msec) or bath-applied by means of a switching port in the continuously flowing superfusion system.

**Other chemicals.** N-(6-alpha-naphthoxy)-5-chloro-1-naphthalenesulfonamide (W-7; Sigma) was used at 3.3 × 10⁻⁴ M. The Ca²⁺ chelator 1,2-bis(2-amino-phenox)-ylylethene-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (BAPTA) tetrapotassium salt (Sigma) was injected into cells using electrodes containing 200 mM BAPTA. The protein kinase C- and cyclic nucleotide-dependent protein kinase inhibitor 1-(5-isouquinolinesulfonyl)-2-methyl-piperazine dihydrochloride (H-7; Sigma) was bath-applied at 100 μM. The phospholipase C and A₂ inhibitor 1-(6-(17β)-3-methoxyxestra-1,3,5(10)-trien-17-ylamino)(oxo)xy)-1H-pyrole-2,5-dione (U-73122; Sigma) was bath-applied at 300 μM.

**Current–voltage curves.** To obtain I–V curves, voltage-clamped cells were typically ramped from −90 to 0 mV in 1.2 sec (75 mV/sec). Currents elicited in control conditions were subtracted from currents elicited with the peptide applied to obtain difference I–V curves. Multiple ramps were done during the responses to single puffs or bath application, and the maximal subtracted current during each application was used to characterize the Vpeak and amplitude of the response.

**RESULTS**

Each STG has a single LP neuron. A large number of neuromodulators, including proctolin, RPCH, CCAP, TNRNFLRFamide, and CabTRP, cause the LP neuron to increase its excitability during ongoing pyloric rhythms (Marder et al., 1988; Nusbaum and Marder, 1988; Weimann et al., 1993, 1997; Christie et al., 1997b). We were interested in determining the currents that are responsible for this increased excitability in these substances. As a first step, we wished to determine whether each of these substances acted directly on the LP neuron. Therefore, preparations were placed in 10⁻⁵ M PTX, which blocks much of the chemical inhibitory transmission in the STG (Marder and Eisen, 1984), and the modulators applied either in the bath or from pressure pipettes.

Figure 1 shows current-clamp recordings of the same LP neuron in response to short bath applications of each of the six modulators studied here. These recordings illustrate that all of the modulators depolarized the LP neuron and caused it to fire strongly and in some cases to burst. Applications under these recording configurations were done in six preparations for RPCH, CabTRP, and TNRNFLRFamide and in five preparations for proctolin, CCAP, and pilocarpine. All six modulators were applied to the same preparation a total of three times.

Because there is extensive electrical coupling and there are connections between STG neurons and descending modulatory inputs (Coleman et al., 1995), it is impossible to isolate STG neurons completely from all circuit interactions while in the network. Therefore, we studied the currents evoked by these modulators in individual neurons in dissociated cell culture, as well as in the intact ganglion. The cultured neurons have an additional advantage, because their much reduced electrotonic structure makes it possible to obtain better voltage-clamp control.

Turrigiano and Marder (1993) showed that identified STG neurons retain their characteristic responses to modulators when plated into dissociated cell culture. Specifically, in culture, each identified cell type responded to the same substances to which it responds in the intact ganglion and failed to respond to substances to which it does not respond in the intact ganglion. Because STG neurons must be physiologically identified using intracellular recordings before dissociation, it is extremely difficult to obtain a large number of identified cultured neurons. By combining data on cultured neurons and neurons in the intact ganglion (see below), we were able to verify that the properties of the current we measured in ganglion neurons were not complicated by circuit interactions.

**Proctolin activates a voltage-dependent inward current**

In STG neurons, proctolin evokes a small inward current that shows strong outward rectification (Golowasch and Marder, 1992). Figure 2 shows voltage-clamp recordings from an LP neuron in the ganglion that illustrate the characteristic voltage dependence of the proctolin-induced current. In this experiment, the neuron was held at the voltages indicated, and proctolin was pressure-applied at the time indicated by the arrow. The inward current elicited at −40 mV was larger than the currents elicited at −20 and −60 mV. The
LP neuron

![Graph of LP neuron currents](Figure 2: Proctolin elicits an inward current with an unusual voltage dependence. The peak proctolin response in this LP neuron was elicited at approximately −40 mV and decreased in amplitude for more positive (−20 mV) and more negative (−60 mV) membrane potentials. Proctolin was pressure-applied at 4 × 10⁻⁴ M (400 msec) to the STG neuropil. This recording was made using TEVC in the presence of 10 μM PTX and 0.1 μM TTX.

Voltage dependence of the proctolin-induced current, and specifically the voltage at the peak inward current (V<sub>peak</sub>), can be seen more clearly using difference currents derived from voltage ramps. Figure 3A shows the total cell currents elicited from a cultured neuron in response to voltage ramps from −90 to 0 mV in the absence and presence of proctolin. The control I–V curve is plotted with a black line, and the I–V curve in the presence of proctolin is plotted with a dotted line. Figure 3B shows the current that results from the subtraction of these two curves. Note that there is a net inward current with a peak at approximately −18 mV and strong outward rectification. Thirteen of 51 (25%) cultured neurons responded to proctolin and CabTRP with this same characteristic inward current. The remaining cultured neurons showed no response to proctolin. The V<sub>peak</sub> was measured in 7 of these 13 neurons and varied from −15 to −30 mV (mean, −25 ± 5.3 mV).

Multiple modulators activate a similar inward current

In addition to responding to proctolin, the neuron shown in Figure 3, A and B, also responded to CabTRP (Fig. 3C). CabTRP also evoked an inward current with a peak amplitude at −18 mV.

When cultured neurons responded to both proctolin and CabTRP, the differences in the V<sub>peak</sub> values of the two responses were <−5 mV (n = 3). Forty-four of 88 cultured neurons tested (50%) responded to CabTRP. All neurons that responded to CabTRP did so with the same characteristic inward current. Overall, V<sub>peak</sub> for CabTRP varied from −20 to −46 mV (mean, −37 ± 6 mV; n = 24). The difference between the mean V<sub>peak</sub> values of the proctolin and CabTRP currents appear to be attributable to the fact that there were V<sub>peak</sub> variations between individual neurons, and only three neurons in the proctolin- and CabTRP-responding populations overlapped.

Golowasch and Marder (1992) showed that the current–voltage curve of the proctolin-induced current linearized in low external Ca<sup>2+</sup>. We verified that this was the case in the responses of cultured neurons to proctolin (data not shown). Figure 4, top left, shows the current–voltage curve for CabTRP from a cultured neuron in control saline (13 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup>) and in low Ca<sup>2+</sup> saline (0.1 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup>). In low Ca<sup>2+</sup>, the current–voltage curve linearized, and there was a large increase in the current amplitude (n = 6). Figure 4 also shows examples of the current–voltage curves for TNRNFLRFamide (n = 3), CCAP (n = 2), RPCH (n = 3), and pilocarpine (n = 2) all linearized in low Ca<sup>2+</sup> saline. These results demonstrate that all of these substances activate a current with the same general features, suggesting that they activate the same current.

Figure 5 shows current-clamp recordings from a single cultured neuron that responded to CabTRP, proctolin, TNRNFLRFamide, and CCAP. Each of these substances evoked similar changes in the activity of the cell, showing that completely isolated neurons do respond similarly in response to the multiple modulators that activate the same current. Most of the individual cultured neurons, however, were only tested for responses to a small subset of the six modulators, making it impossible to draw any quantitative conclusions about the frequency of coexpression of the various modulator receptors.

The LP neuron responds to all six of these substances with the same current

All six of the modulators tested elicited the same current in the LP neuron in the intact STG. Figure 6A shows the current–voltage
ever, V peak values measured in the VD and LP neurons were corresponded to each of these substances whenever they were applied. Evoked by each of these substances were statistically indistinguishable (one-way ANOVA, p > 0.078). The LP neuron always responded to this same subset of peptides. Unlike the LP neuron, the VD neuron does not respond to CCAP (data not shown). Table 1 shows the properties of the currents evoked by the remaining four substances (proctolin, CabTRP, TNRNFLRFamide, and picrotoxin) when the neuron was depolarized using a constant current injection to trigger membrane oscillations. The horizontal line indicates −20 mV.

The VD neuron responds to a subset of the substances

Unlike the LP neuron, the VD neuron does not respond to CCAP (n = 4) or RPCH (n = 5). The VD neuron does respond to the remaining four substances (proctolin, CabTRP, TNRNFLRFamide, and picrotoxin), which all elicit currents with the same characteristic I–V curve. Figure 6B shows examples of the I–V curves for proctolin and CabTRP in a VD neuron.

The subtraction currents for CCAP and RPCH, which do not activate a current in the VD neuron, are also shown. The V peak of the current seen in response to the modulators in the VD neuron was close to −20 mV (Table 2), considerably more depolarized than that seen in the LP neuron. The V peak values of the currents in these VD neurons evoked by the different modulators were statistically indistinguishable (one-way ANOVA, p = 0.430). However, V peak values measured in the VD and LP neurons were statistically different (p < 0.001, Student’s t test). The VD neuron always responded to this same subset of peptides.

Coapplication of CabTRP and proctolin to LP results in occlusion

If multiple modulators converge on the same set of ion channels, one would expect that saturating concentrations of one modulator would occlude responses to a second modulator. We tested this using proctolin and CabTRP. We found that saturating concentrations of proctolin and CabTRP resulted in occlusion of the response to the other peptide. We first performed experiments to obtain dose-response curves for the two peptides. In these experiments, the LP neuron was voltage-clamped to −40 mV, the peptides were bath-applied, and the currents were recorded. Figure 7A shows an example of the currents resulting from 3 × 10−6 M and 3 × 10−7 M proctolin, respectively, from one experiment. Figure 7B shows the plotted mean dose-response curves for proctolin and CabTRP (n = 5 for proctolin and n = 3 for CabTRP). As calculated from the fits, 90% saturation was reached at 1.8 × 10−6 M proctolin and 2.0 × 10−6 M CabTRP.

Figure 8A shows the current evoked by a proctolin puff in control conditions and in the presence of 2 × 10−6 M bath-applied CabTRP. Note that the steady inward current evoked by the bath application of the CabTRP was slightly larger than that of the proctolin puff in control conditions, and the response evoked by the proctolin puff during the CabTRP response was virtually occluded (n = 3). Figure 8B shows the reverse experiment, in which CabTRP was applied first in control saline and then during a bath application of 2 × 10−6 M proctolin. Again, the response to the puff was occluded when it was applied with a near-saturating concentration of the other peptide in the bath (n = 3).

W-7 augments the proctolin and CabTRP currents and alters their voltage dependence

It would be of obvious interest to determine the identity of the second messenger systems involved in the activation of this channel by the modulators. Therefore, we tried a number of pharmacological agents known to influence second messenger signaling pathways in other species. BAPTA (n = 4) gave ambiguous results. H-7 (n = 2) and U73122 (n = 2) had no apparent effect. However, the calmodulin inhibitor W-7 (Hidaka et al., 1980; Itoh and Hidaka,
CCAP. Recordings are from the same VD neuron. Modulators were unlike the LP neuron, the VD neuron shows no response to RPCH or with peak currents occurring at similar voltages (approximately 20 mV). Unlike the LP neuron, the VD neuron shows no response to RPCH or CCAP. Recordings are from the same VD neuron. Modulators were pressure-applied at 10^-4 M to the ganglion neuropil. The LP and VD neurons also responded to TNRNFLRFamide and pilocarpine (data not shown) with currents similar to those shown. Recordings were made using TEVC in the presence of 10 μM PTX, 0.1 μM TTX, and 10 mM tetraethylammonium chloride.

For the different modulators, there is not a statistically significant difference in V peak measurements from the LP neuron (p = 0.430, one-way ANOVA). There is, however, a statistically significant difference between the V peak measurements for the LP (Table 1) and the VD neuron (p < 0.001, t test). Values are means ± SD. NR, No response; NA, not applicable.

**Table 1. Characteristics of the modulator currents in the LP neuron**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulator</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>I_peak (nA)</th>
<th>V_peak (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proctolin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-38.0 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CabTRP</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-41.8 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNRNFLRFamide</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-40.7 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCAP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-37.0 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPCH</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-33.9 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilocarpine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-37.0 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averages for all LP neurons</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-38.4 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I_peak is the mean peak current elicited, and V_peak is the voltage at which I_peak occurred. For the different modulators, there is not a statistically significant difference in V_peak measurements from the LP neuron (p = 0.078, one-way ANOVA). Values are means ± SD.

1984) had a robust effect on the current. Bath application of 3.3 × 10^-4 M W-7 increased the amplitude of the currents evoked by both proctolin and CabTRP in the LP neuron. The most interesting aspect of this effect is that W-7 also altered the voltage dependence of the currents (Fig. 9). In this experiment, proctolin pulses were applied in control saline at both -40 and -80 mV. Note that the control response at -80 mV was smaller than that at -40 mV (consistent with the characteristic I-V curve of this current). However, in W-7 the amplitude of the response at -80 mV was much larger than that at -40 mV (n = 3 preparations). Similar data were obtained with CabTRP (n = 3 preparations).

**Table 2. Characteristics of the modulator currents in the VD neuron**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulator</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>I_peak (nA)</th>
<th>V_peak (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proctolin</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-20.3 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CabTRP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-21.2 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNRNFLRFamide</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-22.8 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCAP NR (n = 4)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPCH NR (n = 5)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilocarpine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-18.6 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averages for all VD neurons</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-20.3 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I_peak is the mean peak current elicited, and V_peak is the voltage at which I_peak occurred. For the different modulators, there is not a statistically significant difference in V_peak measurements from the VD neuron (p = 0.430, one-way ANOVA). There is, however, a statistically significant difference between the V_peak measurements for the LP (Table 1) and the VD neuron (p < 0.001, t test). Values are means ± SD. NR, No response; NA, not applicable.

**Figure 7.** Dose–response curves for proctolin and CabTRP in the LP neuron. A, Representative voltage-clamp traces from bath application of varying concentrations of proctolin. B, Dose-response curves for proctolin (n = 3) and CabTRP (n = 3). For each individual experiment, peak currents were normalized to the largest response. Responses across all experiments were then averaged and plotted ± SE. Recordings were made using TEVC in the presence of 10 μM PTX and 0.1 μM TTX. The fits to the data points were done to the curve y = I_max x/(K_d + x), where x is the concentration of the applied peptide, I_peak is the normalized maximal current, and K_d is the dissociation constant. For proctolin, I_max = 1.06 ± 0.01, and K_d = 2.02 ± 0.01 × 10^-4 M. For CabTRP, I_max = 0.97 ± 0.03, and K_d = 2.25 ± 0.29 × 10^-7 M.

**DISCUSSION**

Nervous systems contain a vast number of signaling molecules, including amino acids, amines, and neuropeptides. Although extensive neuroanatomical and immunocytochemical work has demonstrated complex and rich patterns of colocalization for amines and peptides, how these substances modulate specific functional circuits remains relatively unknown. The stomatogastric nervous system contains 20 different identified modulatory substances (Marder and Weimann, 1992; Marder et al., 1995; Christie et al., 1997a; Abbott and Marder, 1998), each of which can alter the STG system containing extensive neuroanatomical and immunocytochemical work has demonstrated complex and rich patterns of colocalization for amines and peptides. However, these substances modulate specific functional circuits remains relatively unknown. The stomatogastric nervous system contains 20 different identified modulatory substances (Marder and Weimann, 1992; Marder et al., 1995; Christie et al., 1997a; Abbott and Marder, 1998), each of which can alter the STG system containing extensive neuroanatomical and immunocytochemical work has demonstrated complex and rich patterns of colocalization for amines and peptides.

**Voltage dependence of the modulator current**

We predominantly used ramps in this study because they provided a simple method for obtaining a quantitative value for V_peak, which otherwise would have required interpolation among points taken at different holding potentials, and they allowed us to obtain the full I-V curve during a single application of modulator. Deriving I-V curves using voltage ramps can sometimes give inaccurate results depending on the voltage and time dependence of the current being characterized. Nonetheless, Figures 2 and 9 show that the voltage dependence captured in the ramps is also seen in the currents evoked at fixed holding potentials, as was described in
previous work on the proctolin-induced current (Golowasch and Marder, 1992). The experiments reported here demonstrate that six different substances converge on a voltage-dependent inward current whose current–voltage curve linearizes in low-Ca\(^{2+}\) solutions. \(V_{\text{peak}}\) differed for different identified cell types, although for an individual neuron, all of the peptides that acted on that neuron evoked a current with a similar voltage dependence. \(V_{\text{peak}}\) ranged from \(-55\) to \(-15\) mV in different cultured neurons, and the identified VD and LP neurons had statistically different \(V_{\text{peak}}\) values. \(V_{\text{peak}}\) for the LP neuron reported in this study is more depolarized than the value for the peak response previously reported (Golowasch and Marder, 1992). In the previous study, the mean peak voltage was calculated using the amplitudes of responses measured in both current clamp and voltage clamp and with a variety of protocols, whereas all of the data reported here were obtained as difference currents calculated from ramps, and we assume that this discrepancy results from differences in experimental methods.

The differences in the voltage dependence of different cell types could arise from a number of different scenarios: (1) different cell types could express channels with different subunit compositions; (2) different cell types could have more or less extensive phosphorylation of the channel; and (3) some cell types could have an additional peptide-modulated voltage-dependent current that contributes to the net current as we have measured it. At present we have no way to distinguish among these possibilities, but note that within an individual neuron, all active peptides evoke currents with a similar voltage dependence, arguing that whatever mechanism is responsible for the cell-type differences, it is independent of the identity of the activating peptide.

Sharp (1994) used the dynamic clamp to apply the proctolin current to both the LP and anterior burster neurons of the STG and found that the \(V_{\text{peak}}\) of the artificial proctolin current had to be carefully matched to the intrinsic properties of each neuron to reproduce the actions of exogenously applied proctolin. Therefore, each neuron may tune this modulator current so that its voltage dependence is set with regard to the other voltage-dependent currents found in the neuron. For example, it is possible that the voltage dependence of the proctolin-induced current in the LP neuron is tuned to enhance the ability of the LP neuron to generate bursts and plateau properties, but the more depolarized current in the VD neuron is tuned to influence spike rate but not to enhance bursting.

**Where does convergence occur?**

Other examples of modulator convergence are abundant in both invertebrates (Breznitz, 1988; Bolshakov et al., 1993; van Tol-Steye et al., 1997, 1999) and vertebrates (Andrade and Aghajanian, 1985; Andrade et al., 1986; Christie and North, 1988; Egan and Tsien, 1990; Nicoll et al., 1990; Cox and Dunlap, 1992; Swensen and Marder, 1992; Sharp, 1994) used the dynamic clamp to apply the proctolin current to both the LP and anterior burster neurons of the STG and found that the \(V_{\text{peak}}\) of the artificial proctolin current had to be carefully matched to the intrinsic properties of each neuron to reproduce the actions of exogenously applied proctolin. Therefore, each neuron may tune this modulator current so that its voltage dependence is set with regard to the other voltage-dependent currents found in the neuron. For example, it is possible that the voltage dependence of the proctolin-induced current in the LP neuron is tuned to enhance the ability of the LP neuron to generate bursts and plateau properties, but the more depolarized current in the VD neuron is tuned to influence spike rate but not to enhance bursting.

The finding that the peptide responses occlude each other suggests that they act on the same channels and that the convergence is occurring either at some intermediate level of the signal transduction pathway or at the level of the channel itself. This interpretation is also supported by the fact that W-7 alters the amplitude and voltage dependence of both the proctolin and CabTRP currents. Work in other systems suggests that convergence often occurs early in the signal transduction pathway upstream from the actual ion channel (Andrade and Aghajanian, 1985; Andrade et al., 1986; Bolshakov et al., 1993; Breznitz et al., 1994b; Jones et al., 1995; van Tol-Steye et al., 1999), although substances with convergent effects can act through different second messenger pathways (Elmslie, 1992; Diversé-Pierluissi and Dunlap, 1993, 1995).

Despite extensive work on the modulation of STG networks, much less is known concerning the second messenger pathways underlying that modulation. Proctolin does not appear to increase cAMP levels in STG neurons (Flamm et al., 1987; Hempel et al., 1996). The W-7 data (Fig. 9) suggest that a calmodulin-sensitive step is possibly implicated in the activation of this channel or in its modulation, but much further work will be needed to determine the signal transduction pathways activated by these substances.
Functional consequences of convergence for circuit modulation

Dopamine and serotonin both modulate several voltage-dependent conductances in STG neurons (Kiehn and Harris-Warrick, 1992a,b; Harris-Warrick et al., 1995a,b; Zhang and Harris-Warrick, 1995; Kloppenburg et al., 1999). Therefore, it was natural to assume that many of the other modulators would also alter multiple voltage-dependent currents in STG neurons. The result reported here is interesting for two reasons. First, it is surprising that so many substances converge on the same current. Examples of this large degree of convergence are relatively rare (Sodickson and Bean, 1998). Second, it is surprising that so many of the actions of these peptides on the motor patterns generated by the STG can be attributed to modulation of a single current, as demonstrated with dynamic clamp applications of a model proctolin current, which mimic well the actions of proctolin (Sharp et al., 1993a,b; Abbott and Marder, 1998). Nonetheless, these peptides may evoke additional, as yet uncharacterized actions that are responsible for their effects on synaptic transmission (Dickinson et al., 1990; Marder et al., 1997) or could influence membrane excitability.

Our description of the response characteristics for the VD and LP neurons suggests that different neuronal types respond to various subsets of the peptides. The output of the network as a whole will be strongly influenced by the distribution of peptide receptors on different neurons. This would be a particularly useful mechanism for the selection of different motor patterns in response to nonlocalized release from neurohemal-like terminals within the STG (Kilman and Marder, 1996) or to substances that reach the STG hormonally (Christie et al., 1995).

What are the consequences for circuit modulation of the modulator convergence reported here? At first glance, the convergence of all of these modulators onto the same current appears to reduce the potential for circuit flexibility. However, the number and distribution of receptors to each substance will allow each one to produce characteristic and different actions at the network level. Additionally, these modulators could have divergent effects on other membrane currents and/or synaptic transmission, which could also help contribute to the differential network effects of these modulators. Another mechanism to allow the nervous system to maintain a wide range of outputs could be through the colocalization of various modulators. Proctolin and CaTRP are colocalized in modulatory commissural neuron 1 (MCN1), but MCN1 activation results in a different motor pattern than that evoked by other proctolin-containing neurons (Blitz et al., 1999) that contain different cotransmitters.

The extensive convergence of these modulators could also contribute to network stability. The large numbers of modulators and sites of modulatory action in the STG make it difficult to understand how networks are protected against ‘overmodulation.’ Each of the modulators studied here could produce a unique action at the level of the whole network, but because they occlude the actions of each other, the response of a given neuron to multiple modulators will be limited or held in check. Convergence may actually contribute to limiting the number of possible network configurations and, therefore, may serve as a stabilizing force.
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