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The effects of stimulating the modulatory proctolin-containing neurons (MPNs) on the pyloric rhythm of the stomatogastric ganglion of the crab, Cancer borealis, were compared with those produced by exogenously applied proctolin. The effects of both MPN stimulation and proctolin applications depend on the preceding physiological state of the preparation. Both treatments increase the pyloric cycle frequency dramatically in preparations that are slowly cycling, but they have little or no effect on pyloric cycle frequency in preparations that are already rapidly cycling. MPN and proctolin produce maximal pyloric cycle frequencies of about 1.2 Hz, although much faster pyloric frequencies are possible. MPN stimulation and proctolin applications affect the number of impulses fired in each burst by pyloric network neurons. MPN's excitatory actions are longer lasting when a preparation is active than when it is quiescent before stimulation. These data suggest that many of MPN's physiological actions result from its release of proctolin. Small unitary post-synaptic potentials evoked by MPN stimulation in the lateral pyloric neuron may indicate the presence of a second neuromediator in MPN.

How neural networks are influenced by neurally released peptide modulators is not well understood. Partly this reflects the paucity of well-defined neuronal systems with identified peptidergic neuronal inputs amenable to detailed physiological analysis. To date, most detailed studies employing cellular techniques have relied upon exogenously applied peptides and have focused upon the effects of peptides on individual ionic currents (e.g., Dunlap and Fischbach, 1981; Colombani et al., 1985; Bertadetti et al., 1987; Levitan et al., 1987; Brown, 1988; Kirk et al., 1988). Some progress has been made in describing the effects of exogenously applied peptides on neural networks (Deakin et al., 1985; Kuhlman et al., 1985; Hooper and Marder, 1987; Li and Calabrese, 1987; Sosin et al., 1987; Heinzel and Selverston, 1988). However, the complexity of neural tissue, and the prevalence of colocalization of transmitters and peptides, makes it imperative to study the physiological actions of peptides released from neurons, as many important dynamics of peptide action may not be seen in studies of exogenously applied peptides. The pair of modulatory proctolin-containing neurons (MPNs) described in the preceding paper (Nusbaum and Marder, 1989) allow us, for the first time, to compare directly the effects of a proctolin-containing neuron with those of exogenously applied proctolin on a relatively simple neural network, the pyloric system of the crab, Cancer borealis.

The comparison of neurally released peptide to exogenously applied peptide is especially interesting in the case of proctolin and the pyloric rhythm because previous work by Hooper and Marder (1987), using the lobster Panulirus interruptus, showed several interesting features regarding proctolin's effects in this system. First, although the pyloric rhythm can be active at frequencies as high as 3 Hz without losing its essential character (Marder and Meyrand, 1988), the maximal frequency of the pyloric rhythm in proctolin is about 1–1.2 Hz (Hooper and Marder, 1987). Interestingly, despite the fact that the anterior burster (AB) neuron, the pacemaker of the pyloric rhythm, can burst as quickly as 2.5 Hz in proctolin when isolated, the synaptic connectivity of the pyloric network limits the pyloric frequency in proctolin (Hooper and Marder, 1987). The significance of this finding is that if exogenous proctolin is applied to a preparation that is inactive or only generating a slow pyloric rhythm, it has dramatic and robust actions, but its effects are virtually nonexistent if the pyloric rhythm is strongly active before proctolin application. We were interested in determining whether this was also the case for the physiological actions of a proctolin-containing neuron, as this type of neuron would then act to bias the pyloric network to a given frequency but not to excite it regardless of its starting point.

Therefore, we compared the actions of proctolin and MPN stimulation on the pyloric rhythm frequency and the firing patterns of the pyloric network neurons of the crab, Cancer borealis. The results reported here for the effects of proctolin on the pyloric rhythm of the crab are similar to those in the lobster, Panulirus interruptus. The effects of MPN stimulation also show state-dependent actions that are qualitatively similar to those of proctolin. Some of these data have appeared in abstract form (Nusbaum and Marder, 1988).

Materials and Methods

Neurophysiological preparations and electrophysiological recording techniques were as described in Nusbaum and Marder (1989). Except where noted, all data were collected from preparations of the crab, Cancer borealis, consisting of the osphargaeal (OG) and stomatogastric (STG) ganglia plus their connecting and motor nerves. The commissural ganglia (CGs) were connected to the OG and STG, but activity in the CGs was suppressed by chronic incubation of the vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) solution. All experiments were performed with a continuously flowing superfusion system (6–10 ml/min), cooled to 12–18°C, that allowed rapid changes of the bath solution. Proctolin (Sigma) was introduced through this system without interrupting the flow of solution. Bath volume was 15–20 ml.
Results

MPN stimulation can initiate the pyloric rhythm in a preparation showing no rhythmic pyloric activity (Fig. 1), as was previously shown for proctolin (Hooper and Marder, 1984). This figure also serves to illustrate the main features of the pyloric rhythm. During rhythmic pyloric activity, the pyloric dilator (PD) neurons fire in alternation with 3 classes of constrictor neurons. These include the lateral pyloric (LP), seen as the largest unit on the dvn recording, the pyloric (PY), seen on the dvn as small units following the LP, and the inferior cardiac (IC), seen on the mvn recording.

In this paper we compare the effects of MPN stimulation with those of proctolin to attempt to answer 2 questions: (1) Are MPN’s effects dependent on the state of excitation of the preparation, as are the effects of exogenously applied proctolin? (2) Are all of MPN’s effects likely to be attributable to proctolin?

To this end, we have chosen to focus on the MPN- and proctolin-mediated increases in pyloric cycle frequency and in the activity of the LP and IC neurons. We have chosen these for comparison because in the crab, C. borealis, the effects of proctolin and MPN on these features of the pyloric rhythm are particularly robust. The PY neurons also showed increased impulse activity, although not as extensively as the LP and IC neurons. We also report data for the effects of MPN on the AB, the pacemaker for the pyloric rhythm, and for the PD neurons, to which the AB is electrically coupled. The remaining pyloric network dilator neuron, the ventricular dilator (VD) neuron, rarely exhibited impulse activity in these preparations and remained subthreshold when the pyloric rhythm was excited by MPN or proctolin.

MPN and proctolin effects on pyloric frequency

The bar graph in Figure 2 compares the mean pyloric frequencies from 21 experiments in different conditions. When the CGs were left functionally attached to the STG (see Fig. 1, preceding paper), the average pyloric frequency was 1.74 ± 0.3 Hz (mean ± SD). When all impulse traffic to and from the (desheathed) CGs was blocked by placing them in isotonic sucrose, the pyloric cycle frequency decreased dramatically to 0.43 ± 0.33 Hz (control). MPN stimulation resulted in a mean pyloric frequency of 0.87 ± 0.15 Hz. Proctolin applications (10⁻⁶ m) resulted in average frequencies of 0.83 ± 0.18 Hz. The proctolin and MPN values are not statistically different from each other. However, they are both statistically different (p < 0.001, 2-tailed Student’s t test) from the values for both the control and functionally attached CGs.

The data in Figure 2 illustrate that the maximal frequency possible for the pyloric rhythm is far higher than that produced in the presence of proctolin or during MPN stimulation. Previous work had shown that proctolin has strong excitatory actions when applied to relatively inactive preparations but only

Figure 1. Initiation of the pyloric rhythm by intracellular stimulation of MPN. MPN firing frequency was 20–25 Hz. The largest spikes in the extracellular dvn recording are from the LP neuron, the medium-sized spikes are from the PD neurons, and the smallest are from the PY neurons. The rhythmically active spike in the extracellular mvn recording is the IC neuron.

Figure 2. Average pyloric cycle frequency under different conditions. First 3 panels give results for CGs functionally removed by sucrose block. From left to right: saline (n = 21), MPN stimulation (n = 9), bath-applied proctolin (10⁻⁶ m, n = 12), and with the commissural ganglia (CGs) still functioning (n = 5). All 3 experimental values were significantly different from controls (Student’s 2-tailed t test) at the p < 0.001 level. Similarly, the average frequency with functioning CGs was different from the values for both MPN stimulation and proctolin application at the p < 0.001 level. There was no difference between the values for MPN stimulation and proctolin application.
minor effects when applied to preparations with rapid pyloric rhythms (Marder et al., 1986; Hooper and Marder, 1987).

Therefore, we were interested to determine whether MPN, like proctolin, would show excitatory actions that depended on the previous physiological state of the preparation. To determine this, we plotted the percentage increase in pyloric cycle frequency as a function of the initial pyloric cycle frequency in Figure 3. This plot shows clearly that MPN had pronounced excitatory actions when the preparations were only slowly active under control conditions, but MPN stimulation was virtually without effect on preparations with robust pyloric rhythms before MPN stimulation. In those rhythmic preparations in which the MPNs were spontaneously active, suppressing their activity by hyperpolarizing current injection reduced the pyloric cycle frequency (data not shown). This effect was most pronounced in slowly cycling preparations.

The AB neuron is a conditional oscillator and under many physiological conditions provides timing for the pyloric rhythm (Miller, 1987). In *Panulirus interruptus*, the AB neuron is a direct neural target for proctolin, and proctolin increases the amplitude and the frequency of the AB neuron bursts. These excitatory actions are sufficient to explain much of the increase in pyloric frequency and strength produced by proctolin (Hooper and Marder, 1987). Although in the crab we have not yet functionally isolated the AB neuron from all other pyloric inputs, Figure 4 shows that MPN stimulation increases the amplitude and the frequency of the AB neuron bursts at least when the AB neuron is part of the pyloric network. Thus, the effect of MPN stimulation on pyloric frequency may be partly due to a direct effect of MPN on the AB neuron.

**MPN and proctolin on spikes-burst and burst durations**

MPN and proctolin both increased the number of action potentials/burst in the LP and IC neurons. Data pooled from 7 experiments for these neurons and for the PD neurons are shown in Table 1. During MPN stimulation and proctolin (10^{-4} M) application, the average number of impulses/burst in the IC neuron increased 168 and 157%, respectively. Similarly, large increases occurred in the LP neuron. The PD neuron impulse

### Table 1. Mean number of impulses/burst in pyloric network neurons during MPN stimulation and bath-application of 10^{-4} M proctolin compared with controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuron</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>W/MPN</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>W/Proctolin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.0*</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.6 ± 2.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>2.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>7.5 ± 4.7*</td>
<td>2.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>6.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>11.9 ± 3.9*</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>15.8 ± 4.3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as means ± SD. Each mean represents data from 3–7 preparations.

* p < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, respectively, relative to controls (2-tailed Student’s *t* test).

There was no statistical difference for any neuron on comparing the mean number of impulses/burst during MPN stimulation versus proctolin application.
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Figure 3. Percentage increase, relative to prestimulus controls, in MPN-mediated pyloric cycle frequencies as a function of prestimulus cycle frequency. Each data point is the average of 3–10 cycles. Data include 100 MPN stimulations from 11 different preparations.
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Figure 4. Enhancement of the frequency and amplitude of AB neuron oscillations by intracellular stimulation of MPN, during an ongoing pyloric rhythm. MPN firing frequency was 13 Hz.
activity was slightly enhanced during MPN stimulation. For all 3 of these neurons, the number of impulses/burst was the same with MPN and proctolin.

Figure 5 is a plot of the normalized phase of the pyloric cycle in which the PD, IC, and LP neurons fired during control conditions, MPN stimulation, and in the presence of proctolin. The data are pooled from 15 experiments and show that the relative durations of both the LP and IC bursts increased as a result of both MPN stimulation and proctolin application. In each instance, the impulse bursts terminated later than in controls. For the LP neuron, these effects are statistically significant compared with the controls (Fig. 5). The IC neuron duration, however, was significantly longer than control only during MPN stimulation. There was no difference regarding the place during the pyloric cycle where LP and IC fired when comparing MPN stimulation with proctolin application.

**Duration of MPN action**

The physiological state of the preparation prior to MPN activation correlated with differences in the degree to which MPN excitation of the pyloric rhythm outlasted the period of MPN stimulation. Figure 6 illustrates that MPN stimulation resulted in enhanced LP and IC activity for at least 10 sec subsequent to the termination of MPN activity. Similar time courses of MPN action can be seen in Figure 4, and were routinely observed in all preparations (n = 10) in which there was an active rhythm prior to MPN stimulation. In contrast, when MPN is responsible for initiation of rhythmic pyloric activity (as seen in Fig. 1), the activation of the pyloric circuit does not outlast the stimulation (n = 4 preparations).

**Does MPN contain another transmitter?**

Many peptidergic neurons contain a non-peptide neurotransmitter in addition to the peptide (Hökfelt et al., 1987). Therefore, we performed experiments to look for physiological actions of MPN that might not be due to proctolin. Although there are no available blockers of proctolin action, saturating the ganglion with exogenous proctolin and then stim-
ulating MPN might uncover an additional effect of MPN that is ordinarily hidden by the strong proctolin-like action of MPN. Therefore, as illustrated in Figure 7, in several experiments we applied high proctolin concentrations ($10^{-8} - 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{m}$) and stimulated MPN. Proctolin application had no consistent effect on either the membrane potential or, when active, the ongoing firing frequency of MPN. Stimulation of MPN in the presence of proctolin (Fig. 7) failed to reveal an obvious additional component to MPN's action. Moreover, even in the presence of $5 \times 10^{-6} \text{m}$ proctolin, MPN stimulation produced a slight residual depolarization of the LP and IC neurons. Since proctolin application increases the cycle frequency (Fig. 7), thus decreasing the effect of MPN stimulation (Fig. 3), it is difficult to compare precisely the effects of MPN in the absence and presence of proctolin. Thus, these experiments failed to demonstrate the presence or absence of a non-proctolin effect of MPN stimulation.

In another series of experiments we took advantage of preparations with little or no spontaneous activity to search for unitary postsynaptic potentials associated with MPN activation. Figure 8 shows the results from one such experiment in which, late in the experiment, MPN stimulation failed to activate a pyloric rhythm. Instead, MPN stimulation evoked a slow depolarization of the LP neuron, with small psps superimposed on the depolarization. Triggering the oscilloscope on the MPN spike (as in Fig. 8B) revealed that these psps occurred one-for-one with the MPN spike. Both the long-lasting depolarization and the psps appear to result from chemically mediated synaptic transmission because they were blocked reversibly by saline containing 20 mM Co²⁺.

To study these psps further, preparations were placed in high-Ca²⁺ saline to increase the transmitter release from MPN (and hopefully increase the amplitude of the psps) and to increase the threshold of possible intervening neurons (and increase the likelihood that these psps are directed onto the LP neuron).
Figure 9 shows that under these conditions when the LP neuron membrane potential was displaced, the small rapid psp increased in amplitude as the neuron was hyperpolarized and decreased in amplitude with depolarization. The small unitary psp appears to have a reversal potential more positive than -40 mV. It is also interesting that the records in Figure 9 show hints of a slow, longer-lasting depolarizing potential at the more depolarized potentials that is less evident at the more hyperpolarized levels of membrane potential. The extremely small amplitude of these events, even in the presence of high-Ca²⁺ saline, makes it difficult to study these psp's. However, the seemingly conventional nature of the small unitary psp's suggests that they may be caused by the action of a non-proctolin transmitter.

**Discussion**

Comparing MPN with bath-applied proctolin

The pyloric rhythms during MPN stimulation and in the presence of bath-applied proctolin were statistically indistinguishable with regard to a number of parameters, including cycle frequency, number of impulses/burst, and burst duration. This reinforces the suggestion made from proctolin immunolabeling of MPN (Nusbaum and Marder, 1988b) that MPN's effects are likely to be at least partly mediated by proctolin. The similarities between MPN and proctolin indicate that bath-applied neuropeptide can be a useful tool for understanding how neurally released peptides affect neural network activity. Additionally, the degree of similarity between MPN and bath-applied proctolin reinforces the conclusion, drawn from extensive studies of pyloric rhythm responses to different bath-applied substances, that apparently hard-wired neural networks can generate a variety of different neural activity patterns (Flamm and Harris-Warrick, 1986; Marder, 1987, Nusbaum and Marder, 1988a).

There are, however, limits to the utility of using bath-application to understand the normal functioning of a neuropeptide. For example, detailed determinations of the time course of the synaptically released peptide are necessary to understand how the peptidergic neuron acts on the neural network, which would not be evident with exogenously applied peptide. Moreover, bath-application does not always so faithfully mimic the effects of a neurally released transmitter. For example, the isolated leech nerve cord produces the swimming motor pattern either when identified serotonin-containing neurons are stimulated or serotonin (>10⁻⁸ M) more closely mimic the rapid initiation of the swim motor pattern caused by neuronal stimulation, these doses then cause a hyperpolarization of many swim-related neurons and the swim motor pattern is inhibited (Nusbaum and Kristan, 1986). However, while relatively high doses of serotonin (>10⁻⁴ M) more closely mimic the rapid initiation of the swim motor pattern on the neural network stimulation, these doses then cause a hyperpolarization of many swim-related neurons and the swim motor pattern is inhibited (Nusbaum, 1984, 1986).

The experiments reported here show that the IC neuron in *C. borealis* responds vigorously to proctolin and to MPN, while the VD neuron was not active. In contrast, in *P. interruptus* (Hooper and Marder, 1987) the IC neuron remains silent in proctolin. We think that this reflects the intrinsic differences in the activity of these neurons in the 2 species rather than a fundamental difference in the effects of proctolin in the 2 species.

**MPN: multiple transmitters?**

An additional aspect of MPN's effects that was not evident using exogenous proctolin was the occurrence of discrete psp's in these same pyloric network neurons in response to MPN stimulation (Figs. 8, 9). The 2 distinct postsynaptic effects of MPN observed in LP may well reflect the co-release of 2 substances, or they may instead indicate that proctolin interacts with multiple receptors. While it is tempting to propose that the apparently conventional psp caused by MPN reflects a non-peptide-mediated synaptic effect, and that the long-lasting depolarization is due to proctolin release, this conclusion remains premature.

Although termed the modulatory proctolin-containing neuron, MPN may also release one or more additional transmitters/ modulators. Immunocytochemical studies indicate that MPN does not exhibit immunolabeling for the peptides FMRFamide (Marder et al., 1987), red pigment concentrating hormone (Nusbaum and Marder, 1988a), substance P (Goldberg et al., 1988), or small cardioactive peptide B (E. Marder and M. P. Nusbaum, unpublished observations). Similarly, MPN does not appear to contain either serotonin or dopamine (Beltz et al., 1984; Marder, 1987; V. Budnik and E. Marder, unpublished observations). Whether MPN does use an additional transmitter, either another peptide or one of the classic, small-molecule transmitters, remains to be determined. One possible candidate is GABA, since GABA-like immunolabeled neurons of the appropriate size are
found in the OG of the lobster (Cazalats et al., 1987a) and the crab (I. Courtin, M. Nusbaum, E. Marder and M. Moulins, unpublished observations).

Thus far, all the accumulated data are consistent with proctolin being released by impulse activity in MPN. The MPN somata exhibit proctolin-specific immunolabeling (Nusbaum and Marder, 1989), a substance chromatographically indistinguishable from native proctolin is found in the OG and the STG (Marder et al., 1986), and MPN’s effects on the pyloric rhythm are nearly identical to those of proctolin (this paper). Future experiments to measure directly proctolin levels in the bath subsequent to MPN stimulation will hopefully confirm this conclusion. This will then enable us to examine whether any part of MPN’s long-term effects result from a slow removal or inactivation of proctolin after its release.

Comparison with other identified STG inputs

In addition to MPN, there are 2 other identified modulators of the pyloric rhythm located in the OG region: the anterior pyloric modulator (APM) (Dickinson and Nagy, 1983; Nagy and Dickinson, 1983; Dickinson et al., 1988) and the pyloric suppressor (PS) neuron (Cazalats et al., 1987b). Although neither of these neurons was studied in C. borealis, it is unlikely that either is a homolog of MPN. The PS neuron has the opposite physiological effects from MPN, causing a long-lasting cessation of the pyloric rhythm. APM, like MPN, excites the pyloric rhythm. However, APM has longer-lasting effects than does MPN, and, unlike the case with MPN, all of the pyloric network neurons appear to be targets of APM. Moreover, the axonal projections of APM and MPN are quite different (Nagy and Dickinson, 1983).

State-dependent MPN action

MPN’s actions depend on the physiological state of the preparation before its stimulation. When the pyloric rhythm is faster than 1 Hz, MPN activation has virtually no effect. However, if the pyloric rhythm is slow and irregular, then MPN activation will produce dramatic and important consequences. Thus, the MPNs have conditional excitatory actions that depend on the physiological state of the target neural network. If sensory stimulation is part of the physiological pathway called into play to activate the MPNs, this would mean that that pathway would be functional only when activated under a certain subset of circumstances, that is, when the pyloric rhythm is relatively inactive. Such state-dependent peptide actions might, in principle, underlie many physiological processes that are notably dependent on the physiological and hormonal state of the animal. These state-dependent actions of MPN can easily be due to MPN-released proctolin, since proctolin has similar conditional actions on the pyloric rhythm (Hooper and Marder, 1987). It should be stressed that not all substances that excite the pyloric rhythm produce the 1 Hz frequency characteristic of proctolin. For example, muscarinic cholinergic agonists such as picrotoxin produce pyloric rhythms in the 2 Hz range (Marder and Meyrand, 1988). In the case of proctolin in the lobster, Panulirus interruptus, the 1 Hz limitation of pyloric frequency found in proctolin is a consequence of circuit interactions, rather than due to the cellular actions of proctolin. Therefore, it will be extremely interesting in the future to determine which pyloric neurons receive monosynaptic inputs from MPN, and whether the saturation of MPN’s actions with respect to the pyloric cycle frequency is due to circuit interactions, as with proctolin, or to some property of the neurally released transmitter.

The dependence of the duration of MPN’s effects on the state of the pyloric rhythm may be explained by the voltage sensitivity of proctolin’s effects (Goowasch and Marder, 1988). In quiescent preparations, MPN activity must be maintained for the pyloric rhythm to continue. In already cycling preparations, MPN enhances the pyloric cycle frequency, but its activity is not necessary to maintain the cycling state, and its effects persist beyond the end of its activity. In the cycling preparations, the rhythmic depolarizations that occur may continue to bring the pyloric targets of MPN into the voltage range where proctolin is maximally effective, in a similar fashion to the voltage-dependent activation of the lamprey spinal cord by N-methylaspartate (Sigvardt et al., 1985; Wallen and Grillner, 1987).

In summary, stimulation of the proctolin-containing MPNs evoke actions on the pyloric rhythm that are closely mimicked by proctolin. However, since proctolin is released only when the preparation is in a portion of its physiological range. Thus, these data demonstrate that activation of a peptidergic neuron can confer contingent properties on a neural pathway. Hopefully, future studies will allow us to elucidate the detailed cellular and circuit mechanisms that will then enable us to explain exactly how all the properties of MPN’s synaptic connections provide the neural substrate for its circuit actions.
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